Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	, 10/717,550	KOMIYA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael A. Marcheschi	1755
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Michael A. Marcheschi</u> .	(3)	
(2) Daniel R. Evans, Ph.D.	(4)	
つけんらい た Date of Interview: <u>14 June 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 2,4,9 and last new claim		
Prior art documents discussed:	·	,
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G examiners amendment	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a warrate record of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
		•
•	·	•
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		